

Date: Fri, 9 Apr 93 04:30:02 PDT
From: Packet-Radio Mailing List and Newsgroup <packet-radio@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Packet-Radio-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Packet-Radio@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Packet-Radio Digest V93 #94
To: packet-radio

Packet-Radio Digest Fri, 9 Apr 93 Volume 93 : Issue 94

Today's Topics:

 "Message From Mir / R2MIR "
 cancel <pschleck.734316550@cwis>
 Plan the next generation BBS
RFD: rec.radio.amateur reorganization [discussion summary 3/31] (2 msgs)
 Rich Man's Packet ... : -)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Packet-Radio@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Packet-Radio-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Packet-Radio Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/packet-radio".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Fri, 09 Apr 1993 04:15:25
From: his!UUCP@uunet.uu.net
Subject: "Message From Mir / R2MIR "
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

Organization: Wetware Diversions, San Francisco

Important Message from Space Station Mir

To: All Amateur Radio Operators
Re: Operations of The R2MIR-1 P.M.S.

W5RRR ne mozhet prochatat' vashi `messag`i , iz-za bolshogo

kolichestva soobschenij, prislannyh na W5RRR drugimi stancijami.
Pozhalujsta, kogda zagruzites' - sotrite vse chuzhie messagi, krome teh, chto ot vas. Tolko R2MIR mozhet posylat' messages na W5RRR. Spasbo.

----- Translation: Via Dave N6JLH -----

W5RRR cannot read mail, because there are too many messages to W5RRR from other stations.

Please delete all messages to W5RRR, except messages from you to (R2MIR). Only R2MIR should be sending messages to W5RRR.

Thankyou! Alex R2MIR

It is also important to note that the crew of the Space Station Mir has requested on several occasions that any and all messages sent to them on the Mir Station's P.M.S. (Personal Message Service) R2MIR-1, be sent only in Russian. Please be patient with them, and they will reply when, and if their schedule permits.

All other messages sent to R2MIR, not in Russian, will be deleted from the P.M.S. as they have been in the past.

Randy Kielich N6WDV
Internet: randyk@wet.com
Packet: W6PW.#NOCAL.CA.USA.NA

Date: Fri, 09 Apr 1993 03:09:39
From: his!UUCP@uunet.uu.net
Subject: cancel <pschleck.734316550@cwis>
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

Organization: University of Nebraska at Omaha

Cancelled manually.

Date: Fri, 09 Apr 1993 01:04:19
From: his!UUCP@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Plan the next generation BBS

To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

Organization: Tegra-Varityper, Inc. Billerica, MA

In article <wceL2B2w165w@grafex.Cupertino.CA.US> ka6etb@grafex.Cupertino.CA.US (KA6ETB Steve Harding) writes:

With permission of N0ARY, I pass along the following for your perusal:

Date: Mon, 15 Mar 93 23:53:27 PST
From: bob (Robert Arasmith)
To: users%allcan@bbs.Arasmith.COM

Enough talk, time to get started. We are undertaking what I envision as the next generation of BBS. It offloads a lot of the user interface and work to the users pc as opposed to the BBS itself. This is often described as the client/server approach.

Sounds a lot like LZW and NNTP to me.

n1dxg

```
|-----|
|       | Johnathan Vail      vail@tegra.com      (508) 663-7435
|Tegra|  jv@n1dxg.ampr.org    N1DXG@448.625-(WorldNet)
|-----| MEMBER: League for Programming Freedom (league@prep.ai.mit.edu)
```

Date: Wed, 07 Apr 1993 19:38:47
From: his!UUCP@uunet.uu.net
Subject: RFD: rec.radio.amateur reorganization [discussion summary 3/31]
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

Organization: Cray Research, Inc.

In article <84ty0394cf5p00@amdahl.uts.amdahl.com>, ikluft@uts.amdahl.com (Ian Kluft) writes:

> hbe@loretta.la.ca.us (Harris Boldt Edelman) writes:
> >Ian Kluft, in <efB803hDceof00@amdahl.uts.amdahl.com>, states that there
> >is support for the proposed reorganization of rec.radio.amateur, then
> >presents a tally whose numbers show that a majority of those participating
> >in the discussion did not favor the proposal. This is invidious.
>

> When you take out the undecided or unclear (unclear == one-liners not
> expressing any opinion) comments, it's 2-to-1 in favor of the split.

Or rather, 13 to 7 or 8 in real numbers. I think you'll be amazed at the number of folks waiting in the wings to vote a resounding NO (of course, I could be amazed by the number of folks just waiting to vote YES too..) I am singularly unmoved by the "it worked in rec.autos, rec.aviation etc arguments - is there a guerilla force that moves from group to group dreaming up splits ? Where to next ?

FWIW - I also think .info was a total waste of time, Paul Schleck (apologies if I mis-spelt your name) explained the rationale to me ("kill file hack"). I still can't hack my kill file to make it work, and I suspect there are many more like me...

20 or 21 replies seems to me like a poor response for a request for a QSL route, let alone a reorg RFD.

--

andyw. NØREN/G1XRL

andyw@aspen.cray.com Andy Warner, Cray Research, Inc. (612) 683-5835

Date: Fri, 09 Apr 1993 03:13:55

From: his!UUCP@uunet.uu.net

Subject: RFD: rec.radio.amateur reorganization [discussion summary 3/31]

To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

Organization: University of Nebraska at Omaha

andyw@aspen32.cray.com (Andy Warner) writes:

>FWIW - I also think .info was a total waste of time, Paul Schleck (apologies
>if I mis-spelt your name) explained the rationale to me ("kill file hack").
>I still can't hack my kill file to make it work, and I suspect there
>are many more like me...

You've spelled it right. What newsreader are you using? The ability to kill articles cross-posted to a certain group is a hallowed feature on most major newsreaders.

In rn, according to Leanne Phillips in her rn kill-file FAQ, add a line of the form:

/Newsgroups:.*[,]rec\.radio\.info/h:j

either in News/KILL (if you don't want to see rec.radio.info articles

anywhere) or News/rec/radio/amateur/misc/KILL (if you don't want to see them in rec.radio.amateur.misc). The latter method means your kill file will only be consulted during rec.radio.amateur.misc (and hence runs more efficiently), and will probably work for most people.

In nn, according to Bill Wohler in his nn FAQ, add a line of the form:

```
rec.radio.info:!s/:^
```

in .nn/kill (if you don't want to see rec.radio.info articles anywhere), or put the following lines:

```
sequence
rec.radio.info
rec.radio.
```

at the end of .nn/init in order to see all the rec.radio.info bulletins first, then read rec.radio.amateur.misc without the bulletins.

Personally, I read rec.radio.info, and use the sequence technique with:

```
rec.radio.info:!n:Cary Oler
```

in my .nn/kill file (nothing personal Cary, just not interested in Solar Reports :-).

I'd certainly be willing to work with anyone who wants to set up such a scheme to customize their presentation of the rec.radio.* groups, even if they are using a reader other than nn or rn (rec.radio.* groups only, please, I don't have time to give kill-file help to the entire net).

73, Paul W. Schleck, KD3FU

pschleck@unomaha.edu

Date: Fri, 09 Apr 1993 01:55:08
From: his!UUCP@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Rich Man's Packet ... : -)
To: packet-radio@ucsd.edu

Organization: University of Kansas Academic Computing Services

In article <1993Apr8.075140.2001@ke4zv.uucp>, gary@ke4zv.uucp (Gary Coffman) writes:

>

> You need a GRAPES 56 kb RF modem and a transverter. The modem is an
> RF design using MSK that outputs on 29 MHz. You use a transverter
> to kick that to the UHF band of choice. Occupied bandwidth at 56 kb
> is 70 kHz. There are 100 kHz wide channels on 222 and 440 in the

I had the same question as the original poster, but after reading about the GRAPES modems, I don't think they're really for anyone except those interested in tinkering and designing the modems. I think it was ve3jf's article that described all the problems in finding suitable transverters, the need to build/buy/find bandpass filters for the front of these, the susceptibility of the transverters to noise from other nearby equipment, ect, ect. The fastest "off the shelf" solution I've been able to locate are the Kantronics UHF data radios which do 19.2k. Clearly not 56k, but you can buy all the pieces complete and you don't have to have a bench full of test equipment to get it working. ;)

Oh well, someone, please tell me there is a better/faster solution.

Regards,
Chris

=====

WHITTEN@KUHUB.CC.UKANS.EDU	Chris Whittenburg, N0TWA
WHITTEN@UKANVAX.bitnet	Univ. of Kansas - Electrical Engineering

=====

End of Packet-Radio Digest V93 #94
